IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE V.RAMKUMAR

THURSDAY, THE 22ND FEBRUARY 2007 / 3RD PHALGUNA 1928

Bail Appl..No. 1167 of 2007

---

AGAINST THE ORDER IN BA.6626/2006 Dated 15/11/2006
CRIME NO.196/2006 OF MUHAMMA POLICE STATION

.....

PETITOINER

---

1. MANOJ C.S.
CHENGAL KATTA VELI,
MUHAMMA P.O.

2. ASHOKAN V.C.
VADAKKEDATHU PADINJARE VELI
MUHAMMA P.O.

3. SUJITH P.S. PATHUKANDATHIL
MUHAMMA P.O.

BY ADV. SRI.C.V.MANUVILSAN
SRI.P.K.KHALEEMUDHEEN
SRI.G. SUDHEER

RESPONDENTS:

---

STATE OF KERALA, REP. BY
S.I. OF POLICE, MUHAMMA,
THROUGH PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT OF KERALA, EKM.

BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR SRI. JAI GEORGE

THIS BAIL APPLICATION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 22/02/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

**V.RAMKUMAR, J.**

---

Bail Application No. 1167/2007

---

Dated this 22nd day of February, 2007

**O R D E R**

When this application for anticipatory bail came up for hearing today, the learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the police are not allowing the petitioners to avail of the benefit of Annexure-A2 anticipatory bail order dated 15.11.2006 in B.A.6626/2006, on the view that the period of one month stipulated thereunder has elapsed. If such a view is taken by the police, it is clearly illegal since the period of one month stipulated in the aforesaid order will start running only from the date of arrest and release on bail. Since the petitioners can very well avail of the benefit under Annexure-A2 order, this application is unnecessary and is dismissed as such.

**V.RAMKUMAR,**
**JUDGE**

mrcs